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Abstract

While COVID-19 is still ongoing and responsible for over 5 million deaths, the scope and speed of advances over the last year in terms of scientific discovery, data dissemination and technology have been staggering. It is not a matter of “if” but “when” we will face the next pandemic; how we leverage technology and data management effectively to create flexible ecosystems that facilitate collaboration, equitable care, and innovation will determine the severity and scale. The aim of this review is to address emerging challenges that came to light during the pandemic in healthcare and innovations that enabled us to adapt and continue to care for patients. The pandemic highlighted the need for seismic shifts in care paradigms and technology with considerations related to the digital divide and health literacy for digital health (DH) interventions to reach full potential and improve health outcomes. We discuss advances in telemedicine, remote patient monitoring (RPM), and emerging wearable technologies. Despite the promise of DH, we emphasize the importance of addressing its limitations including interpretation challenges, accuracy of findings, artificial intelligence driven algorithms. We summarize the most recent recommendation of the Virtual Care Task Force to scaling virtual medical services in Canada. Finally, we propose a model for optimal implementation of health digital innovations with 5 tenets including Data Management, Data Security, Digital Biomarkers, Useful Artificial Intelligence and Clinical Integration.
Brief Summary

The scope and speed of advances during COVID19 have been staggering. It is not a matter of “if” but “when” we will face the next pandemic; how we leverage technology and data management effectively to create flexible ecosystems that facilitate collaboration, equitable care, and innovation will determine the severity and scale. We propose a model for optimal implementation of health digital innovations with 5 tenets: Data Management, Data Security, Digital Biomarkers, Useful Artificial Intelligence and Clinical Integration.
Introduction

Over the last century, the world has experienced a number of pandemics with varying impacts on healthcare and the global economy. The 1918 influenza outbreak was the most severe in recent history, resulting in an estimated 50 million deaths worldwide. A similar prevention approach was used during the beginning of the coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, with control efforts limited to isolation, quarantine and reducing the size of public gatherings.\(^1\) COVID-19 is still ongoing and responsible for over 5 million deaths. During this time the scope and speed of advances in terms of scientific discovery, data dissemination and technology have been staggering. The World Health Organization (WHO) was first informed of the unusual rates of pneumonia in Wuhan, China on December 30th, 2019. Within 11 days, the genome of the coronavirus was sequenced and shared online for global collaboration efforts.\(^2\)

In this review, we address emerging challenges that came to light during the pandemic in healthcare delivery and innovations that helped health services adapt to COVID-19. We discuss the promise that digital health (DH) technologies hold and the importance of addressing its limitations in order to harness these innovations. There have been a number of lessons learned from this pandemic that will prepare us for the next disruptor. It is not a matter of if but when we will face the next pandemic; how we leverage technology and data management effectively to create flexible ecosystems that facilitate collaboration, equitable care, and innovation will determine the severity and scale of future threats to our provision of healthcare.
Disparities in Equitable Care Exposed: Addressing the Gap with Technology

The COVID-19 pandemic unmasked deeply-rooted inequities in health access and outcomes globally. Many contemporary studies have found that when adjusted for confounders, such as socio-economic status, insurance coverage and site of care, the differences in race are largely attenuated. Black, Hispanic and Indigenous individuals are over-represented in COVID-19 hospitalizations and mortality. In the USA, 1 in 390 Indigenous Americans and 1 in 555 Black American have died compared to 1 in 665 White Americans. Members of these racialized communities are more likely to rely on higher risk employment, delay seeking health care due to financial constraints, reside in multigenerational housing, and receive unequal treatment once hospitalized. Despite stay-at-home orders to contain the spread of the virus, many essential workers were unable to work from home. Rogers et al, found that compared to Whites, Blacks were more likely to work essential jobs during COVID-19, including transportation, food preparation, health care support and cleaning services; thereby increasing their risk of exposure from the workplace and transmission in their respective communities.

Early on in the COVID-19 pandemic reports demonstrated increased morbidity and mortality among patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD). These at-risk patients were advised to stay home as much as possible, to limit their chances of contracting COVID. However, this was not always possible for the reasons described above. COVID-19 has seen a sharp reduction in hospital visits by patients with multiple co-morbidities, those who historically had a higher utilization of hospital care than the general population. This suggests that both missed and postponed care led to a greater disparity in healthcare and may lead to poorer outcomes in the longer term.
The pandemic highlighted the need for seismic shifts in care paradigms and technology used as a means to deliver this change. In the next section we evaluate challenges that emerged during COVID.

**Challenges to Providing Pandemic Health Care**

**Digital Divide**

As there was a pivot to providing more care using telehealth technologies, both to protect patients by reducing their contact with in-person review and to mitigate extreme hospital workloads, a number of challenges related to uptake of digital solutions were encountered. These included access to reliable internet connections and a lack of readiness for adoption of virtual care in those from minority groups or older populations.9

**Access to Reliable Internet**

High-speed reliable internet has become an essential resource during this pandemic, whether to facilitate working from home, telemedicine, online purchases or social communication. It has recently been recognized as an additional social determinant of health.10 Yet, only a quarter of Indigenous communities have access to broadband internet compared to 97% of urban households in Canada.11 Similarly, in the US the digital disenfranchisement is highest among rural residents, Blacks and Hispanic communities and those with the lowest income (<$50,000/year).12 The majority of access to internet services in Canada is through internet-enabled mobile devices. Access to the internet from home reduces sharply for Canadians aged above 65 years.11 Rural communities and First Nations reserves also suffer from a reduction in provision in broadband internet and LTE-mobile phone connectivity.13
Readiness for Adoption

Access to the internet does not translate into adoption. In a recent cross-sectional study including 4,525 community adults, 38% of seniors aged >65 years and 72% >85 years were not able to undertake video consultations for clinic visits. Unreadiness was more prevalent among older, single men, non-Caucasian counterparts, those with lower education status and those who resided in non-urban areas. Lack of familiarity with the technology, lack of stable internet connection, difficulty with hearing/seeing, holding the device and language were identified as barriers to video visits.14

Health Literacy

In the Canadian context, health literacy is defined as “the ability to access, understand, evaluate and communicate information as a way to promote, maintain and improve health in a variety of settings across the life-course”.15 Low health literacy is commonly associated with older age, lower levels of education and minorities.16–18 Even when accounting for confounders, low health literacy is a key determinant in survival, with low health literacy associated with a 75% higher risk of mortality.18 The effects of limited health literacy can manifest in many ways, including more frequent drug errors and reduced patient understanding of their own health conditions; for instance, not knowing when to seek assistance as their condition is deteriorating.17,19

Dealing with a fast-moving situation, such as a newly-emerging pandemic, required patients to be adaptable, embracing new information, particularly digital information, as experience with the disease grew. Patients needed to become accustomed to new models of care at the same time. It is unclear how well these requirements were
met during COVID, using vaccination as an example, with the initial lag, particularly among low-income and rural Canadian residents, who were more likely to have lower levels of health literacy.\textsuperscript{20,21} Data from Ontario in 2016 showed that 47% of provincial residents had low indices of health literacy, reducing their ability to navigate the healthcare system and be proactive in managing their own care.\textsuperscript{22} These were important considerations in the evolving care paradigms seen during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Evolution of Healthcare Practices

To enable the evolution of patient care during a pandemic, there must be a change in how healthcare professionals (HCPs) deliver care. Few professional curricula include detailed education for doctors, nurses and other HCPs on how to successfully use digital technologies. The uptake of healthcare innovation is often championed by a few enthusiasts without consideration of how such technology might be best integrated into the routine workings of clinics. There is little included in medical curricula either at undergraduate or postgraduate levels or opportunities in continuing medical education to learn techniques required for providing routine care using digital technologies. In a recent self-reported survey of Canadian cardiology residents, respondents reported a lack of comfort and a need for telemedicine targeted education during medical training.\textsuperscript{23} Accelerated specialty-focused telemedicine curricula have been feasible in the ambulatory pediatric setting and would be readily transferable to the adult setting. In this study, sessions delivered via Zoom included the basic principles of telemedicine communication, technical skills, and physical examination. Direct observation by attendees was also developed for evaluation purposes.\textsuperscript{24} There was a significant increase in residents’ self-reported efficacy in performing key components of telemedicine visits. Another study
demonstrated that undergraduate medical students taught using DH technologies were more familiar with them in their later clinical practice and more able to use such technologies in their career compared to peers who did not receive DH-based lessons during their training.\textsuperscript{25} Beyond simply incorporating important digital health and digital learning competencies into educational frameworks, such models of education will need to incorporate rapid knowledge translation and dissemination of new concepts and care paradigms that emerge at great speed in response to fresh challenges.

It is reassuring that early reports show that a move to telehealth and virtual clinics did not increase overall morbidity or mortality.\textsuperscript{26} However, translating these digital innovations to leverage improved outcomes compared to previous models of care in the longer term remains to be seen.\textsuperscript{27} For success we will need a workforce trained in digital healthcare delivery and leaders with a vision to deploy changes in practice, for DH to reach full potential.

**Innovation through COVID-19**

**Pivoting to Telemedicine during COVID**

Telemedicine has been in place for decades in Canada, while long on promise, it has been short on delivery. According to the Canadian Institute for Health information, pre-COVID-19, virtual care represented 0.15% of the 270.3 million billable services.\textsuperscript{28} Virtual care was mostly used in the private sector domain, which offered services directly to patients and providers for a fee. There was tension between patient benefits (increased access to care, cost efficiency, convenience) and provider barriers (lack of reimbursement, jurisdiction licensure restrictions and lack of interoperability with various electronic health records).\textsuperscript{28} COVID-19 resulted in
universal adoption of telemedicine, effectively overnight. This was due to the need for a steep decline in face-to-face ambulatory visits due to pandemic restrictions, and was supported through the use of temporary provincial billing codes.\textsuperscript{29} In the post-COVID-19 era, it is anticipated that patients will derive benefit from “blended” care with both in person and virtual care (including telemonitoring, advanced artificial intelligence (AI) and algorithm-based care) where appropriate.

The pivot to virtual visits during COVID-19 was necessary to provide appropriate and timely care. After COVID-19, we will need to better understand appropriate patient selection for virtual care and remote patient monitoring (RPM). Moreover, we will need to appropriately evaluate the outcomes and quality in order to define what is ‘good’ virtual care. A recent perspective article entitled, "Remote Patient Monitoring - Overdue or Overused" highlights that while RPM can reduce cost by reducing preventable admissions and offer convenience and heightened surveillance for clinical events, there is a desperate need for research to further differentiate which patients would most derive benefit after COVID-19.\textsuperscript{30} In the next section, we will describe the pearls and pitfalls of RPM using HF as the canonical example.

Telemonitoring in Heart Failure

The care of patients with HF requires frequent clinical assessments and laboratory investigations to initiate and titrate up guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT), and for the surveillance of acute decompensation. RPM engages patients as equal partners in their care and should therefore theoretically appeal to care providers and to patients with HF.\textsuperscript{31}

Despite general enthusiasm, the majority of trials that depended on traditional physiological metrics (weight, blood pressure and heart rate) have not improved
outcomes (Table 1). In the Tele-HF study, among 1653 high risk patients, collecting daily weights and symptoms and providing daily coaching did not result in a reduction in hospitalization when monitored for weight and symptoms compared with usual care. In the first Telemedical Interventional Monitoring in HF study (TIM-HF), despite improved adherence rates (80% of the interventional cohort had at least 70% of daily data transfers), there was no difference in all-cause mortality. Common themes in these neutral trials are low adherence to the technology, poor data accuracy and either delays or lack of actionability on data received. For instance, in the Tele-HF trial, 14% of patients in the active treatment arm never used the monitoring device. Furthermore, by the end of the study, only half of the patients in the active arm were using the device three times per week as instructed. Implant-based RPM technologies used in HF have been shown to be useful for monitoring patients, but there have been challenges with costs, workflow and responding to alerts consistently across trial populations. There are often few designated protocols for action after an alert is received. This reduces the effectiveness of the system if appropriate alerts are not followed by equally appropriate alterations in patient management, including altering medications or reasserting the need for adherence to lifestyle measures such as limiting dietary intake of salt and water.

Successful remote monitoring requires adherence to schedules of data transmission: it requires the patient to actually use the technology. For example, in one of the only positive telemonitoring trials, the second Telemedical Interventional Management in Heart Failure II (TIM-HF2) trial, 97% of patients were 70% compliant with daily data transfer. In this trial, 1571 patients were randomised to either usual care or usual care supported by RPM. Overall, there was a borderline significant reduction in the
primary endpoint of days lost to death or cardiovascular hospitalisation from 6.6% in the usual care group to 4.9% in the RPM group (ratio 0·80, 95% CI 0·65–1·00; p=0·046) but no significant difference in mortality. Interestingly, in extended follow-up one year after RPM was stopped, there was no longer a difference between patients previously managed with RPM and those receiving usual care, suggesting that the effect of RPM only occurred while the technology was in use.\textsuperscript{36} This suggests that sustained benefits to patient care require ongoing engagement with RPM by both patients and clinicians.
Table 1. Non-invasive Heart Failure Remote Patient Monitoring trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Study Population</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Explanations Given for Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tele-HF (2010)</td>
<td>1653 HF patients enrolled within 30 days of hospitalisation for HF decompensation.</td>
<td>Daily phone call to automated interactive voice response system providing information on symptoms, clinical status and weight.</td>
<td>No difference in readmission or death from any cause within 180 days compared to usual care</td>
<td>Underuse of the telemonitoring system: Only 86% of patients made any calls and only 55% making three calls weekly at six months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koehler et al.</td>
<td>710 patients NYHA II/III with LVEF ≤25%, or 25-35% with decompensation requiring intravenous diuretics in previous 24 months.</td>
<td>Patients used portable devices for ECG, blood pressure, and body weight measurements and reported self-assessed health status sent to telemedicine monitoring centre</td>
<td>No reduction in mortality, CV death or HF hospitalization compared to usual care</td>
<td>Stable, and well managed group of patients, in usual care group, only 10% experienced a cardiac event during the 24 months of the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angermann et al.</td>
<td>715 patients with signs and symptoms of HF decompensation and LVEF ≤40%.</td>
<td>Nurse-delivered disease management programs of education, remote monitoring through structured telephone support and medical optimisation of GDMT</td>
<td>No reduction in primary composite endpoint of death or rehospitalization compared to usual care. Significant reduction in death from any cause (secondary endpoint)</td>
<td>Early hospitalization may have allowed better care for patients in intervention group leading to a reduction in mortality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Patients/Characteristics</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Conclusion/Implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WISH (2012) Lynga et al. (Sweden) 38</td>
<td>344 patients with NYHA III/IV symptoms, on diuretic and HF medication with LVEF &lt;50%.</td>
<td>Daily electronic weight transmission to HF clinic vs standard scale and no automatic transmission of data. All patients advised to contact clinic if &gt;2kg weight gain in 3 days</td>
<td>No reduction in all cause hospitalization, death or composite cardiac hospitalization or death</td>
<td>Despite better adherence to daily weight checking in intervention group (75% to 32% in usual care group) no difference, suggesting weight alone may not be a useful monitoring metric.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEHAF (2012) Boyne et al. (Netherlands) 39</td>
<td>382 HF patients with NYHA II-IV symptoms, previous use of diuretics and impaired cardiac function on echocardiography</td>
<td>Daily preset dialogue on symptoms, knowledge and behaviour. Device collected and provided tailored patient- and disease-specific information. No vital signs measured.</td>
<td>No significant reduction in time to first HF hospitalization</td>
<td>Trial underpowered for primary outcome and well-treated and rather stable population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAT (2013) Krum et al. (Australia) 40</td>
<td>405 patients NYHA II-IV HF with LVEF &lt;40% in rural and remote areas</td>
<td>At least monthly use of telephone-based automated telemedicine system which assessed clinical status and medical management of their</td>
<td>No reduction in primary endpoint of Packer clinical composite score. Significant reduction in HF hospitalization compared to usual care.</td>
<td>Possible useful intervention for those in rural locations without local access to community-based multidisciplinary care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEAT-HF (2016) Ong et al. (USA) ^41</td>
<td>1,427 patients aged &gt;50 years discharge home after hospitalisation for HF</td>
<td>Coaching telephone calls and telemonitoring including blood pressure, heart rate, symptoms, and weight.</td>
<td>No reduction in readmission for any cause</td>
<td>Limited efficacy in use of weight as a surrogate of HF deterioration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIM-HF2 (2018) Koehler et al. (Germany) ^36</td>
<td>1,571 patients NYHA II/III, LVEF ≤45% (or &gt;45% treated with diuretic) and HF hospitalisation during previous 12 months</td>
<td>Web-based daily remote monitoring of weight, BP, pulse, ECG, peripheral capillary oxygen saturation, self-related health status.</td>
<td>Reduction in the weighted average of ‘the % of days lost due to unplanned CV hospital admissions or death’ HR 0.80; 95% CI 0.65–1.00</td>
<td>Very high usage rate amongst participants: 97% of patients were 70% compliant with daily data transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COVID Heart Failure RPM Use Case

During the COVID-19 pandemic, there was a decline in hospitalizations in patients with HF with each lock-down order. Many feared that this downtrend would result in an increase in mortality due to delayed care from the unintended consequence of COVID fear and public messaging. To date this fear has not materialized; recent data has shown no differences in HF mortality (HR 0.97, 0.92-1.10) when compared to 2019. It is possible that enhanced telemonitoring and widespread adoption mitigated the indirect death toll in patients with HF during the pandemic.

Medly is a Health Canada Class II licensed software as a medical device remote patient management program used to monitor HF patients at the University Health Network, Toronto, Canada. Medly uses an in-app rules based algorithm that delivers personalized self-care messages based on daily input of weight, blood pressure, heart rate and HF specific symptoms. Examples of the self-care feedback messages run the gamut of confirming that everything is normal, to a change in diuretic medication dose, to suggesting when patients should contact their care providers or go to the emergency department. Clinical alerts are also managed by a nurse coordinator with rapid escalation to a cardiologist as needed. In a small pragmatic study Medly was associated with a 50% decrease in HF-related hospitalization (incidence relative ratio [IRR] 0.50, p<0.001), and a 24% decrease in all cause hospitalizations (IRR=0.76; p=.02). In a 2-arm randomized control pilot trial (N=42 patients) comparing Medly-enabled remote titration versus standard of care, patients randomized to remote titration were more likely to be on target or maximally tolerated doses of GDMT (ARNI/ACEi/ARB, Beta-blocker and MRA) at a shorter median interval (11 weeks vs. 18.8 weeks, p<0.001). Within 6 months, 86%
of the intervention group compared to 48% of the control group achieved optimal GDMT (p=0.004).47

During the COVID-19 pandemic, Medly was leveraged to provide greater support to HF clinicians undertaking remote patient management. Programme evaluation has demonstrated that both patients and clinicians valued care continuity through telemonitoring and the opportunity to foster a meaningful patient-provider relationship.48 This experience highlighted the importance of RPM being embedded in the context of the interaction with the clinic; patients who understood why they were being enrolled had more positive engagement with the technology than those who had not been introduced to the programme by their usual cardiologist, highlighting the ongoing challenge of building relationships through remote methods.49

**Emerging Technologies with Potential to Revolutionize Pandemic Care**

As the world of DH continues to grow, a number of opportunities for patient management are emerging. These technologies were not as widely used during the pandemic as RPM for HF, nor have they yet provided solutions to manage the workload associated with COVID-19, but they all have potential for improving care in the future. In this next section we review wearable devices, which can provide continuous monitoring of patients and the role of AI in patient management.

**Wearables: The Opportunity for Continuous Monitoring**

The interest in using technological innovations such as “smart wearables” to monitor health has grown significantly over the last five years.50 Smart wearables are consumer grade devices with sensors that can be worn as an accessory or
embedded into clothing. These devices include smartwatches, rings, wristbands and pedometers. Common sensors in smart wearable devices are summarized in Table 2. Data from these devices can be processed through software algorithms to provide potentially important insights into personal health. Contrary to traditional medical models, wearables have been introduced to consumers before validation of effectiveness, safety and reliability in the health care setting.\textsuperscript{50,51}

Currently 21\% of American adults regularly wear a smartwatch or wearable fitness tracker.\textsuperscript{52} Use is more common among women than men (25\% vs. 18\%), among Blacks/Hispanics than Caucasians (23\% and 25\% vs. 20\%) and among those with a higher income (31\% >$75,000 vs. 12\% <$30,000).\textsuperscript{52}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Engineering Principles of Wearable Sensors \textsuperscript{50,53}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engineering sensor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Activity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\textsuperscript{50} Current usage trends in wearable technology.

\textsuperscript{51} Wearable technology in healthcare applications.

\textsuperscript{52} Survey of wearable technology use in the United States.

\textsuperscript{53} Engineering principles for wearable sensor technology.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate and rhythm</th>
<th>Barometer</th>
<th>Utilizes diaphragm on a vacuum chamber that compresses proportionally to pressure</th>
<th>Change in altitude, stair count and detect falls</th>
<th>Apple Watch SE, Series 3-6</th>
<th>Fitbit Flex, One, Charge, Sense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Measures the microvascular blood volume that translates into pulse waves and a tachygram recording.</td>
<td>Arrhythmia, HR, HRV, HRR, Cuff-less BP</td>
<td>Garmin Vivoactive, Venu</td>
<td>Huawei Watch GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Omron HeartGuide</td>
<td>扫描钢HR, Move, Scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Withings Steel HR, Move, Scan</td>
<td>Oura Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motiv Ring</td>
<td>扫描钢</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single lead ECG</td>
<td>Contralateral finger on crown serves as negative electrode where was the back of the watch serves as positive electrode</td>
<td>Atrial fibrillation vs. sinus rhythm</td>
<td>Apple Watch Series 4-6</td>
<td>Fitbit Sense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KardiaMobile (AliveCor)</td>
<td>扫描钢 (Withings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Scanwatch (Withings)</td>
<td>扫描钢 (Withings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure</td>
<td>Oscillometry</td>
<td>Wrist cuff blood pressure</td>
<td>Ambulatory cuff BP monitoring</td>
<td>HeartGuide (Omron)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid content</td>
<td>Cloth-based nanosensors</td>
<td>Phonocardiography, impedance cardiography, multi-channel ECG and accelerometer.</td>
<td>Cardiac output Stroke Volume HR HRV RR Thoracic impedance Activity Posture</td>
<td>SimpleSENSE (Nanowear)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP: blood pressure; ECG: Electrocardiography; EE: Energy Expenditure; HR: heart rate; HRR: heart rate recovery, HRV: heart rate variability; PPG: Photoplethysmography

Application of Wearables in Routine Cardiovascular Care

*Physical Activity Monitoring & Cardiac Rehabilitation*

Physical inactivity is associated with an estimated 5 million deaths per year worldwide. Increasing physical activity levels at the population level could have a substantial effect on chronic disease and increase longevity. The lockdowns and stay at home orders during COVID-19 reduced the opportunity for the general public to participate in organised sport or even attend fitness centres. Early reports indicate that patients were enthusiastic in using DH solutions to maintain their activity levels during COVID-19, and it is likely that this will continue beyond the pandemic. However, pre-pandemic studies evaluating the efficacy of wearables have been
mixed. In a randomized control trial conducted to address weight loss using a wearable tracker in 470 young adults over 24 months, the intervention group lost less weight than the standard group. Simply wearing a device tracker may not offer an advantage over standard behavioral approaches. A wearable tracker coupled with gamification has shown more effective results in the short-term for improving physical activity. The Behavioral Economics Framingham Incentive (BE FIT) study was a non-competitive team-based intervention which resulted in a coffee mug as a prize over a 12-week intervention. The intervention group reached a higher step count compared to the control group, but the effects tapered during the post-intervention period. A systematic review has shown that wearable physical activity monitors can increase cardio-respiratory fitness, but have little effect on sedentary time of individuals, limiting its benefits.

Cardiac rehabilitation, already an underutilized resource, saw further limitations to access imposed by the COVID-19 restrictions. Over 50% of Canadian programs ceased to provide any care during the first wave of the pandemic. Virtual care rehabilitation, however, became a priority during this time, with the rapid delivery of cardiac rehabilitation to high-risk populations. Successful programs were able to leverage home monitoring with individualized exercise prescriptions. Wearable devices were able to be used to monitor “moderate” activity, albeit slightly differently depending on the wearable. Using a baseline step count could support clinicians determine weekly targeted increases in both step count and heart rate goals.

Heart Failure

Physical activity is an important assessment in patients with HF. Traditionally clinicians used the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class. However, this profiling is subjective with poor clinician interobserver reliability. In a feasibility
study, we found that a daily step count of 5000 can differentiate between a patient with NYHA class 2 and 3 symptoms. Further, a study of 170 patients with HF found a step count of ≤4,889.4 steps/day to be a strong and independent predictor of prognosis (HR 2.28, 95% confidence interval: 1.31-6.30; p = 0.008). The Ted Rogers Understanding Exacerbation of Heart Failure study (TRUE-HF; NCT05008692) will prospectively assess whether smartwatch physiologic and sensor data alone or in aggregate can predict objective cardiopulmonary exercise testing among 200 HF patients. Wearable technologies will also be instrumental in optimizing guideline-directed medical therapies, but their utility during the pandemic has been limited. The NanoSENSE study (NCT03719079) is investigating the SimpleSENSE monitoring undergarment and closed-loop machine learning platform (Nanowear Inc., NY, USA) in 500 patients across 5 US centres to validate its ability to identify patients at risk of HF decompensation. The cloth-based nanosensor array incorporated into an undergarment allows monitoring of cardiac output and stroke volume alongside thoracic impedance, posture and activity levels to provide a multi-parametric signal of changes to a patient’s HF state. With further evidence to support their efficacy, wearables may play a more useful role in future pandemics.

Atrial fibrillation, Photoplethysmography (PPG) and ECG monitoring

Atrial fibrillation (AF) lends itself well to remote monitoring in light of its paroxysmal nature and critical need for stroke prevention. Smartwatches with PPG technology coupled with algorithms to detect irregular rhythms may become integral in the screening of AF in targeted populations. During the pandemic, TeleCheck-AF was a multicentre international project launched to maintain care for patients with AF in 25 European centres. By using teleconsultations supported by an on-demand PPG-based heart rate and rhythm monitoring app (FibriCheck®), clinicians were able to
monitor and adjust medications in the follow-up of these patients. Initial deployment
of this technology was reported to be uncomplicated but long-term outcome data is
still pending.66 Previous studies have shown some utility of wearables in detecting
arrhythmia. The Huawei Heart Study enrolled 187,912 individuals to screen for AF
using a band or a wristwatch. Overall, 0.23% participants received an irregular heart
rhythm notification. The subsequent ECG resulted in a positive predictive value of
91.6%.67 The Apple Heart Study was a fully virtual study, enrolling 419,297
individuals to screen for AF using a PPG-based smartwatch. An irregular pulse was
found in 0.52% of the participants. In patients who had an irregular heart alert and
returned the ECG patches, 34% had confirmed AF.68

Hypertension and Blood Pressure Monitoring
Hypertension remains an important risk factor in CVD and early experience of the
pandemic saw a drastic reduction in patients seeking review and optimization of anti-
hypertensive therapies. One study reported a 50% reduction in primary care office
visits for blood pressure checks, with no difference between those with good blood
pressure control and those with uncontrolled hypertension.69 Technologies allowing
home monitoring of blood pressure could help provide care to these patients where
in person care is deferred.

Unfortunately, a recent review of home blood pressure measurement devices found
that only a small fraction of these devices are validated. The majority of these
devices are sold in online marketplaces, with 972 unique devices identified from 59
individual businesses. Of the 532 wrist-wearable devices identified none had been
validated for accuracy or performance, thus reducing the potential effectiveness of
blood pressure monitoring for users.70
Recommendations focus on development of blood pressure management programs, rather than the measurement devices themselves, advocating for a closed loop monitoring system that can automatically send data to the clinic to inform ongoing patient management.71

New technologies are emerging, with promise shown in using smartphone video-capture to estimate blood pressure using transdermal optical imaging. While still in its infancy, better ways to detect and intervene earlier may provide improvements in preventing subsequent complications of hypertension.72

**Diabetes and Blood Glucose Monitoring**

Continuous blood glucose monitoring devices, with or without coupling to insulin delivery pumps have been FDA approved for nearly a decade.73 Used to measure capillary blood glucose levels in patients without intercurrent illness, these devices allow patients with diabetes to assess their glycemic status, receive automatic alerts when out of range and guide their diabetes management. There was no widespread deployment of these devices during the pandemic, but the review of data from these devices suggested better diabetic control during COVID-19 instigated lockdowns. It remains unclear whether this was due to better diet and self-care generally, or whether lockdowns provided individuals with an opportunity for better focus on their own health.74

**Artificial Intelligence**

AI is defined as the ability of a machine to imitate intelligent human behaviour, whereas machine learning (ML) is the application of AI that allows a system to automatically learn and improve from experience. Deep learning is a subset of machine learning which involves the application of ML that uses complex algorithms
and deep neural networks to train a model.\textsuperscript{75} AI could provide support in a future pandemic through enhancing clinical assessment by the non-specialist, when access to specialist resources is limited by restrictions on travel or capacity at specialty providers. Technology-enhanced medical examination devices, such as the EKO digital stethoscope provide an opportunity for enhanced clinical assessment. By training a deep neural network to identify murmurs from recording through the device coupled with underlying echocardiographic abnormalities, the device could identify common murmurs with an acceptable positive predictive value. Such tools could aid learners developing their skills and assist non-experts to obtain useful clinical information to aid decision-making from a distance.\textsuperscript{76}

Within cardiology, echocardiography is a highly specialized field that is infrequently available in rural/remote settings due to the absence of trained personnel. AI to guide the acquisition of images is a novel focus, particularly with the emergence of point of care ultrasound. A deep learning algorithm trained on 5 million examples of ultrasound probe movement was applied to provide real-time guidance to novice operators.\textsuperscript{77} Eight nurses were able to acquire 10-view transthoracic echocardiographic images at the level of sonographers. This study has significant implications for access to cardiac care in pandemic situations, in isolated communities, or in areas where travel may be restricted.

Challenges in Adoption of Digital Technologies in Healthcare

\textit{Interpretation challenges}

There is no publicly available data on the accuracy and validation of most consumer wearables. Commercially available devices used to support a healthy lifestyle may not go through the same rigorous review of a medical-grade device. A recent
systematic review, which included 158 articles, evaluated the accuracy of 9 different commercially available wearable device brands including Apple, Fitbit, Garmin, Mio, Misfit, Polar, Samsung, Withings and Xiaomi. The metrics most commonly reported in the literature are Mean Percent Error (MPE) as defined by the actual observed values compared with the gold standard. Devices had optimized accuracy in controlled laboratory settings. All devices measured heart rate within ± 3% on average in controlled settings. Fitbit, Apple Watch and Samsung devices measured steps accurately while Apple Watch and Garmin were the most accurate for estimating heart rate. Energy expenditure was poorly estimated across all devices. One of the most relevant limitations of this review was the inclusion of discontinued and outdated devices such as Apple Watch Series 2, which is several generations behind the currently available Apple Watch Series 6 device.78

Accuracy of Findings

There have been a number of questions raised over the accuracy of wearable devices, particularly PPG technology. In the WATCH AF study, 22% of participants were excluded from analysis due to poor quality PPG recordings.79 The detection of AF is always more challenging at higher heart rates, therefore, the effectiveness is often context-dependent, a challenge in detecting arrhythmia which has a low incidence across the general population, while older populations who have a higher incidence of AF are less likely to be using wearable devices.80 Further areas of inaccuracies with PPG technology deriving heart rate and oxygen saturation relate to 1) skin tone 2) motion artifacts and 3) signal crossover.81,82 Future steps consist of defining who would most benefit from tracking, establish modern standards for the evaluation of sensors and collaborate with industry to ensure improvement of reach and accuracy in people of colour.
Clinical Implications

The lack of robust evaluation of the clinical outcomes associated with wearable-detected abnormal findings, and furthermore, the uncertain impact of treating these findings is a significant challenge for clinicians. Using the example of pacing-device detected arrhythmia, where recent studies have shown that limited episodes of asymptomatic atrial fibrillation do not necessarily warrant stroke prophylaxis treatment with anticoagulation, physicians remain unsure about what thresholds of wearable-detected arrhythmia are important and require treatment.\(^{83,84}\) The role of wearable-detected HF parameters in management of HF patients remains at the investigation stage. The only significant evidence of benefit arises from continuous glucose monitoring devices. Evidence of benefit of these technologies, both in terms of clinical results and acceptability to patients, are needed before wearable use can be generalised into mainstream cardiovascular patient management.

Artificial Intelligence Driven Algorithms

Machine learning was used during the pandemic, largely to identify early signs of COVID-19 illness. Many of the reported studies were hampered by methodological flaws that prevented generalizability. Algorithms used small datasets with low-quality data, limited diversity, and in certain reports the same data set was used for training and validation.\(^{85}\) These limitations mirror the experience of ML-driven cardiology research. In 2020, nearly 1 in every 1,000 new papers indexed on Medline was about AI and/or ML in cardiology.\(^{86}\) Despite the early excitement, even from the World Health Organisation, of using AI to deal with the pandemic, it provided few useful solutions.\(^{87}\) Patient populations included in training databases still do not accurately reflect the populations being treated in routine clinical practice, a feature that has affected conventional clinical trials for decades.\(^{88}\) Until these data sources
are inclusive and bias-free, the algorithms developed based on them will remain flawed. AI-enhanced clinical decision tools could help us achieve more meaningful and efficient interactions with patients. Unfortunately though, current AI technologies in cardiology are still at the “hype” stage and will require rigorous validation with robust scientific research in diverse populations to demonstrate safety and generalizability.\textsuperscript{89}

\textit{Implementation}

There is a critical role for an implementation plan at the pre-prototype stage as a roadmap outlining the timelines, resources and deliverables.\textsuperscript{90} As DHIs emerge they undergo an \textit{intervention maturation life-cycle}. Early stages of the prototype require evaluations related to end-user interfacing (usability) and feasibility of the technology. As the intervention produces consistent outputs, systematic evaluations on the efficacy and effectiveness are necessary to assess the impact of the intervention. In later stages, a DHI may undergo economic and health technology assessments to appraise their affordability in the health care system. As the technology continues to mature, a DHI may then undergo wider-scale adoption within the health stream and policy environment (implementation science). Most DHIs do not reach the larger real-world implementation scale and this maturation-life cycle may take decades to evolve.\textsuperscript{90}

\textbf{Lessons Learned from COVID-19 and Future Aspirations}

Digital health offers an opportunity to counter the effects of a future pandemic if lessons are learned from the role it played in dealing with the effects of COVID-19. Healthcare systems were quick to pivot to technology-enhanced solutions to patient management, although the success, or otherwise, of this approach has yet to be fully
evaluated, several key factors have aided this change in care paradigm. There are issues that need to be addressed to improve the utility of DH in future pandemic management, including mitigation of the disproportionate effects of future pandemics on vulnerable populations. Specific actions recommended to limit the spread of COVID-19 included addressing the intermediate layers of the determinants of health (i.e., housing, job and food security) and supporting broad access to computers and free internet to reduce the digital divide.\textsuperscript{5,28,91}

Alterations in how care is provided, including increased use of telehealth and digital innovation, should be co-designed and include patients at the outset to ensure their needs are met. Often re-inventing the wheel is not necessary; for instance, in the months before COVID-19 took hold in Canada, the Virtual Care Task Force (VCTF) created by the Canadian Medical Association, the College of Family Physicians of Canada and the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada released its recommendations for scaling up virtual medical services.\textsuperscript{92} Their five key recommendations from February 2020 are summarised in Table 3.
Table 3 – Recommendations of the Virtual Care Task Force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop national standards for patient health information access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support the efforts of the Federation of Medical Regulatory Authorities of Canada to simplify the registration and licensure processes for qualified physicians to provide virtual care across provincial and territorial boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage provincial and territorial governments and provincial and territorial medical associations (PTMAs) to develop fee schedules that are revenue neutral between in-person and virtual encounters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage the CanMEDS consortium in incorporating and updating virtual care competencies for undergraduate, postgraduate and continuing professional development (CPD) learners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a standardized pan-Canadian lexicon for virtual care.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A rapid review of digital health solutions and remote monitoring technologies used during COVID-19 identified perceived benefits including a lower burden of care for hospitals and HCPs, reduced infection risk for patients and support for vulnerable populations. At the same time barriers to implementation included equity-related barriers (affordability of technology for users, poor internet connectivity, poor health literacy), the need for quality "best practice" guidelines for use of RPM and the need for additional resources to develop and support technology solutions. Innovation in patient management and alteration of paradigms of healthcare delivery were successful, yet not all opportunities were utilized to their fullest extent. There remains a gap between use of DH innovation and its integration into routine clinical practice through evidence-based implementation practices. We propose five important steps required to bridge this gap creating a model for how future virtual clinics could be organised (Figure 1).
Figure 1 – Model for Optimal Implementation of Digital Health Innovations

1. Data management,
2. Data security and access
3. Identification of efficacious digital biomarkers
4. Useful AI in clinical decision making
5. Integration into clinical care pathway

Data Management

Many digital solutions currently involve transfer of data from patient devices to clinicians for review. However, often there is no automated means of analysing the large volume of data produced from wearable technologies. Any data that is submitted by patients for clinical review must be painstakingly analysed, often manually, with little in the way of a safety net to ensure correct analysis. This requires skills that are not always well-developed and time on the part of the analyser to do this task. These novel technologies should provide data that is organized with important findings highlighted by automated pre-processing. Without these filters in place, reliably identifying features of interest that clinicians can act upon, HCPs will quickly lose interest and motivation in using novel technologies. Finally, current patient record systems are not geared up towards archiving data received from wearables, making subsequent review to verify new findings against previous data challenging. For effective future deployment these considerations need to be addressed as part of a system-wide approach.
Data Security and Access

Patients should be confident that data produced by innovative digital technologies is securely stored and can only be accessed by members of their healthcare team. Furthermore, patients should have access to their own data, with appropriate support and empowerment to be able to alter their management should abnormal findings be present. This is a paradigm that has been successfully employed in diabetic and heart failure management, where the clinical team takes on an advisory role, rather than providing instruction on what to do in response to every measurement taken. These data flows will be reliant on storage in digital repositories, using cloud-based solutions. It is imperative that appropriate security standards are developed alongside interactivity frameworks to ensure meaningful use of this data while preserving privacy. Furthermore, data flows need to be effective, and this can only occur with inexpensive and readily available internet access in all parts of the country. The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission have launched their “Closing the Digital Divide” program, aiming to achieve broadband speeds of at least 50 Mbps download and 10 Mbps upload with access to unlimited data for all Canadians. Such initiatives are key to promoting digital transformation of care.94

Identification of Efficacious Digital Biomarkers (Digitome)

An important application of data pre-processing includes digital biomarkers. Digital biomarkers are physiological and behavioral measures that explain, influence or predict health related outcomes, creating a personalized digitome. It may be that in the future particular motion and movements (stereotypical for placing a hand to the mouth whilst smoking) or attendance at locations (visiting a fitness centre) will aid
priming of risk-models for routine care, leveraging data that is currently routinely collected by wearables and other Smartphone technologies. Data from sensors need to be integrated and provide contextual information, processed to help understand the clinical phenomenon being measured, and matching this to clinical need to produce useful “digital biomarkers”. These digital biomarkers will need to demonstrate validity in each population that will be treated, including those from non-urban, underserved and vulnerable backgrounds.

Useful Artificial Intelligence

The promise of AI requires a systematic approach to training algorithms and ML models underpinned by rigorous methodology. Despite enthusiasm related to ML and healthcare automation, there are inconsistencies related to the availability of labelled data and outcomes, bias injection (e.g., introduction of racial/gender bias in non-representative datasets), inaccurate measurements, reproducibility, and lack of external validation. To mitigate some of these issues, the American College of Cardiology Healthcare Council put forth Proposed Recommendations for cardiovascular Imaging-related Machine Learning Evaluation (PRIME). PRIME aims to provide a checklist for the ML community, with the goal of standardizing the application of AI and ML. This framework provides recommendations, ranging from design to reporting the limitations phase that data scientists, researchers and clinicians should adopt, to allow for the implementation of AI in clinical practice.

Further, the concept of “ethical AI” is emerging, with due consideration being given to data privacy and ownership alongside the determination of accountability for decisions now made by computers that were previously made by humans. While neural networks can offer important accurate diagnostic and prognostic information,
algorithms should be explainable and interpretable. Highly complex neural networks may yield accurate diagnostics but it may be difficult to generalize to real-life clinical situations. Moreover, healthcare data is particularly vulnerable to challenges where the underlying code and patient data is subject to privacy disclosure restrictions.

Coding for these algorithms should be freely available for scrutiny and external review, without compromising intellectual property rights or dissuading innovation in this field. If there is little appetite for development of such tools by the private sector, then it should be made a priority for national and international collaborations through research funding bodies such as the Canadian Institutes of Health Research or US National Institutes of Health to ensure patients have confidence in these AI driven technologies.

Integration into the Clinical Care Pathway

Without consideration of how these technologies can be integrated into the clinical pathway for patients, take up of innovation will be sub-optimal. Issues such as data management, storage, workflow for analysis, clinical decision making and enacting changes in management plans need to be addressed. How data filters into the current model of multi-disciplinary management of patients has yet to be tackled. It may be that current models of care are inadequate for dealing with future challenges, and these models will need to be proactive to maintain efficacious. The final, but no-less-important, driver of change in care pathways is the remuneration of clinical activity undertaken by both the HCP and the healthcare organisation. Without adequate reimbursement, there will always be barriers to implementation. By demonstrating the value to the healthcare economy of digital innovation through
appropriately designed clinical evaluation studies, regulators and payers should be willing to embrace novel, evidence-based technologies.

**Conclusions**

COVID-19 will be remembered for the devastating number of deaths it caused, the long-term health consequences, and the pernicious socio-demographic divide it unmasked. It will also be remembered as a time of opportunity, where unprecedented digital solutions were rapidly implemented into clinical practice and medical education. Digital health-technology needs to be held to the same rigorous standards as current healthcare tools with embedded quality measures to promote high-quality care, ensure that devices and digital biomarkers are valid, AI is ethical and clear, confirm patient data can be securely stored and transferred to clinicians where it can provide a meaningful trigger to engage evidence-based interventions to change patient management. Digital health has all the potential to deliver safe, efficient and effective care to the population as a whole, reaching parts of society who have previously been underserved to try and reduce health inequity at the same time.

Fast forward to 2025: we are now living in a post-COVID era with nearly 6 billion people vaccinated. A new “normal” has emerged, a transformed healthcare system. We follow over half of our patients using digital technologies as stand alone or in blended model of care. Reimbursement for virtual care is a permanent fixture. Technology is increasingly addressing health inequity and disparities in care. We hope.
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MODEL FOR OPTIMAL IMPLEMENTATION OF DIGITAL HEALTH INNOVATIONS

DATA MANAGEMENT
- Pre-processing of large volume of data

DATA SECURITY
- Securely stored data with democratization

DIGITAL BIOMARKERS
- Physiological or behavioral data that predict outcomes

USEFUL AI
- Machine learning algorithms that are relevant, ethical and explainable

CLINICAL INTEGRATION
- Efficient workflow to enhance clinical decision making